

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/718,068	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Roy M. Punnoose	Art Unit 2877	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Roy M. Punnoose.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Atty. Joseph W. Price (Reg. No. 25,124).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 September 2006

**Time:** 4:09 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 14*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Atty. Joseph W. Price agreed to an Examiner's amendment to delete "sufficiently" from claim 14, which would better describe applicant's claimed invention.

Atty. Joseph W. Price also agreed to an Examiner's amendment to insert "LN" to the specification by amending line 2 on page 5 of the papers filed on September 11, 2006, to overcome the objection to the drawings of the previous office action.